

453 Tennessee Lane, Palo Alto, CA 94306	Tel: 650-493-5540	www.bayrefuge.org	cccrrefuge@gmail.com
Comments sent via electronic mail only			
California Department of Fish and Wildlife			
Scott Wilson			
Regional Manager – Bay Delta Region			
California Department of Fish and Wildlife		July 20, 2016	
7329 Silverado Trail			
Napa, CA 94558			
Email: SWILSON@wildlife.ca.gov			
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service			
Chris Barr			
Deputy Complex Manager			
Con Engrations Day, National Wildlife Defuse C			

Deputy Complex Manager San Francisco Bay National Wildlife Refuge Complex 1 Marshlands Rd Fremont, CA 94555 chris\_barr@fws.gov

Re: California Department of Fish and Wildlife Eden Landing Ecological Reserve, South Bay Salt Pond Restoration Project, Proposed Phase 2 Actions and Public Scoping Comments

Dear Mr. Wilson and Mr. Barr,

Thank you for the opportunity to provide scoping comments for the environmental review document of the South Bay Salt Pond Restoration Project Phase 2 actions proposed at Eden Landing Ecological Reserve. The information provided during the public scoping session on June 30<sup>th</sup> was very helpful in providing an understanding of the breadth of actions that may be undertaken at Eden Landing as part of the Phase 2 actions.

Based upon the information provided during the scoping session, we request the following information be included in the draft environmental review document:

- Baseline data of the species that currently utilize all of the ponds within the Eden Landing Ecological Reserve, including daytime and nighttime use of Phase 2 ponds by roosting shorebirds.
- Mapping that depicts the existing approximate pond depths and salinities of all of the ponds in the complex.
- Identification of any existing management challenges within the Phase 1 ponds of the Eden Landing complex that have the potential to impact restoration of the Phase 2 ponds.
- Identification of suites of species that might be displaced by tidal marsh restoration of the Phase 2 ponds and identification of existing protected habitat that can absorb and sustain these populations in the long-term.
- We strongly support the inclusion of an alternative (Alternative D) that considers phased implementation of tidal marsh restoration for the ponds located south of Old Alameda Creek. We request that a conceptual timeline of phasing be included in the discussion. Please also identify data that would need to be collected to support a

decision to continue with conversion to tidal marsh habitat. Discuss how the managed ponds would be maintained in the long term should a decision be made to retain them, and in particular how they might be manipulated to address the needs of specific suites of waterbirds.

- Please provide conceptual plan and cross section views of the outboard levee and the anticipated benefits of including root wads.
- Please identify species that exist adjacent to each of the public access feature alternatives and describe what measures would be implemented to protect those species.
- Identify potential invasive species that may arise from actions proposed in Phase 2 restoration and how that would be addressed.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments. We request we be kept advised of any future opportunities for public comment, or of any additional information available for review.

Regards,

Carin High

Carin High CCCR Co-Chair